



Pasadena Unified School District (PUSD)  
CITIZENS' OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE (COC) MEETING  
**Minutes of Meeting held on June 24, 2020**

Meeting was held remotely with Google Meet

- I. Meeting called to order 6:36pm
  - a. Present: John Robinson, Amelia Bradford, Eliza Jane Whitman, Kim Kenne, Miguel Perez and Angela Child.
- II. Public comments
  - a. none
- III. Approval of April 2020 meeting minutes
  - a. Approved
- IV. Review of TT related Board Reports
  - a. 1496F – item number 72 – why were additional roofing materials requested by the district instead of the contractor? This seems like a cleanup change order and incremental change orders should have been done during the process vs. having one large change order at the end of the project. Miguel suggests processing change orders once a month in the future.
  - b. 1497 – Piggybackable contract approval was previously overlooked. Colton Joint Unified School district negotiated the pricing that PUSD is taking advantage of to upgrade PUSD schools.
- V. Board of Education COC Liaison Report
  - a. Kim shared links to the proposed construction bonds. \$850 million was original projection for the bond but now the total is less at \$360 million, \$516 million or \$689 million. The resolution says that school sites have been involved in the discussion but that has not happened. Taxpayers pay \$45 annually per \$100k assessed home value for the Measure TT bond until 2037. The different bond amounts will increase the annual taxpayer amount from \$40-60 per \$100k assessed home value through 2050. It had been previously discussed to separate the tech bond and construction bond for voters to choose but they are combined in the current bond resolution language. Is this bond allowing purchasing technology equipment for remote learning?
  - b. The 2020 Bond Investment Matrix on the June 18<sup>th</sup> school board agenda references how the money would be spent by school site.

- c. The board has been advised that the student population will continue to decrease in future years and school enrollment percent capacity will continue to decline if the current schools remain open.
- d. The board will review the return to school plan outlined in the parent meeting on Monday, June 22<sup>nd</sup> at the board meeting Thursday, June 25<sup>th</sup>.

VI. Facilities Reports

- a. Consolidated Budget Status by Fund – Kim’s questions: there is potentially legal liability at the Washington campus. Are we moving budget from McKinley project to the central budget now that the phase one project has been completed? Angela shared that it is still listed against that school because it will be used for Phase 2 which includes roofing. Dr. Barnes needs to direct facilities to have the budget moved back to the central account. PHS Identity project was on hold and budget was planned to move to the PHS HVAC project. Because the bids received for the HVAC project were higher than planned, the bids were rejected, and the money remains in the PHS Identity project.
- b. Review facilities Construction Status Report – PUSD will not be paying for the inspection for the solar panel projects because PUSD will be reimbursed by Southland.
- c. Pictures of Facilities Department Partial Active Projects.
- d. Miguel responded to last month’s questions shared May 28<sup>th</sup>. COC has concerns that Measure TT funds cannot be used twice for the same turf replacement at the same location. COC requests that this is outlined in the board report. Annual maintenance costs for natural turf was questioned because it exceeds the total maintenance expense for the district. Cost comparison does not seem equitable. Assumptions and reference source of data need to be listed.
- e. The unencumbered amount will go into the general fund and then budget would be moved to the priority list for example the PHS Chiller Project.

VII. Next Meeting date: July 29<sup>th</sup> 6:30pm

VIII. Adjournment 8:07 pm